

**SYSTEM AND METHOD OF FACE RECOGNITION
THROUGH 1/2 FACES**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to face recognition systems and particularly, to a system and method for performing face recognition using $\frac{1}{2}$ of the facial image.

DISCUSSION OF THE PRIOR ART

Existing face recognition systems attempt to recognize an unknown face by matching against prior instances of that subject's face(s). All systems developed until now however, have used full faces for recognition/identification.

It would thus be highly desirable to provide a face recognition system and method for recognizing an unknown face by matching against prior instances of half-faces.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a system and method implementing a classifier (e.g., RBF networks) that may be trained to learn on half face or full facial images, and while during testing, half of the learned face model is tested against half of the unknown test image.

In accordance with the principles of the invention, there is provided a system and method for classifying facial image data, the method comprising the steps of: training a classifier device for recognizing facial images and obtaining learned models of the facial images used for training; inputting a vector of a facial image to be recognized into the classifier, the vector comprising data content associated with one-half of a full facial image; and, classifying the one-half face image according to a classification method. Preferably, the classifier device is trained with data corresponding to one-half facial images, the classifying step including matching the input vector of one-half image data against corresponding data associated with each resulting learned model.

Advantageously, the half-face face recognition system is sufficient to achieve comparable performance with the counterpart "full" facial recognition classifying systems. If $\frac{1}{2}$ faces are used, an extra benefit is that the amount of storage required for storing the learned model is reduced by fifty percent (50%) approximately. Further, the computational complexity in training and recognizing on full images is avoided and, less memory storage for the template images of learned models is required.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Details of the invention disclosed herein shall be described below, with the aid of the figures listed below, in which:

Figure 1 illustrates the basic RBF network classifier 10 implemented according to the principles of the present invention;

Figure 2(a) illustrates prior art testing images used to train the RBF classifier 10 of Figure 1; and,

Figure 2(b) illustrates $\frac{1}{2}$ face probe images input to the RBF classifier 10 for face recognition according to the principles of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

For purposes of description, a Radial Basis Function ("RBF") classifier is implemented although any classification method/device may be implemented. A description of an RBF classifier device is available from commonly-owned, co-pending United States Patent Application Serial No. 09/794,443 entitled CLASSIFICATION OF OBJECTS THROUGH MODEL ENSEMBLES filed February 27, 2001, the whole contents and disclosure of which is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

The construction of an RBF network as disclosed in commonly-owned, co-pending United States Patent Application Serial No. 09/794,443, is now described with reference to Figure 1. As shown in Figure 1, the basic RBF network classifier 10 is structured in accordance with a traditional three-layer back-propagation network 10 including a first input layer 12 made up of source nodes (e.g., k sensory units); a second or hidden layer 14 comprising i nodes whose function is to cluster the data and reduce its dimensionality; and, a third or output layer 18 comprising j nodes whose function is to supply the

responses 20 of the network 10 to the activation patterns applied to the input layer 12. The transformation from the input space to the hidden-unit space is *non-linear*, whereas the transformation from the hidden-unit space to the output space is *linear*. In particular, as discussed in the reference to C. M. Bishop, Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997, the contents and disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference, an RBF classifier network 10 may be viewed in two ways: 1) to interpret the RBF classifier as a set of kernel functions that expand input vectors into a high-dimensional space in order to take advantage of the mathematical fact that a classification problem cast into a high-dimensional space is more likely to be linearly separable than one in a low-dimensional space; and, 2) to interpret the RBF classifier as a function-mapping interpolation method that tries to construct hypersurfaces, one for each class, by taking a linear combination of the Basis Functions (BF). These hypersurfaces may be viewed as discriminant functions, where the surface has a high value for the class it represents and a low value for all others. An unknown input vector is classified as belonging to the class associated with the hypersurface with the largest output at that point. In this case, the BFs do not serve as a basis for a high-dimensional space, but as components in a finite expansion of the desired hypersurface where the component coefficients, (the weights) have to be trained.

In further view of Figure 1, the RBF classifier 10, connections 22 between the input layer 12 and hidden layer 14 have unit weights and, as a result, do not have to

be trained. Nodes 16 in the hidden layer 14, i.e., called Basis Function (BF) nodes, have a Gaussian pulse nonlinearity specified by a particular mean vector μ_i (i.e., center parameter) and variance vector σ_i^2 (i.e., width parameter), where $i = 1, \dots, F$ and F is the number of BF nodes. Note that σ_i^2 represents the diagonal entries of the covariance matrix of Gaussian pulse (i). Given a D -dimensional input vector \mathbf{X} , each BF node (i) outputs a scalar value y_i reflecting the activation of the BF caused by that input as represented by equation 1) as follows:

$$y_i = \phi_i(\|\mathbf{X} - \mu_i\|) = \exp\left[-\sum_{k=1}^D \frac{(x_k - \mu_{ik})^2}{2h\sigma_{ik}^2}\right], \quad (1)$$

Where h is a proportionality constant for the variance, x_k is the k^{th} component of the input vector $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D]$, and μ_{ik} and σ_{ik}^2 are the k^{th} components of the mean and variance vectors, respectively, of basis node (i). Inputs that are close to the center of the Gaussian BF result in higher activations, while those that are far away result in lower activations. Since each output node 18 of the RBF network forms a linear combination of the BF node activations, the portion of the network connecting the second (hidden) and output layers is linear, as represented by equation 2) as follows:

$$z_j = \sum_i w_{ij}y_i + w_{oj} \quad (2)$$

where z_j is the output of the j^{th} output node, y_i is the activation of the i^{th} BF node, w_{ij} is the weight 24 connecting the i^{th} BF node to the j^{th} output node, and w_{oj} is the bias or threshold of the j^{th} output node. This bias comes from the weights associated with a BF node that has a constant unit output regardless of the input.

An unknown vector \mathbf{X} is classified as belonging to the class associated with the output node j with the largest output z_j . The weights w_{ij} in the linear network are not solved using iterative minimization methods such as gradient descent. They are determined quickly and exactly using a matrix pseudoinverse technique such as described in above-mentioned reference to R. P. Lippmann and K. A. Ng entitled "Comparative Study of the Practical Characteristic of Neural Networks and Pattern Classifiers."

A detailed algorithmic description of the preferable RBF classifier that may be implemented in the present invention is provided herein in Tables 1 and 2. As shown in Table 1, initially, the size of the RBF network 10 is determined by selecting F , the number of BF nodes. The appropriate value of F is problem-specific and usually depends on the dimensionality of the problem and the complexity of the decision regions to be formed. In general, F can be determined empirically by trying a variety of F s, or it can set to some constant number, usually larger than the input dimension of the problem. After F is set, the mean μ_i and variance σ_i^2 vectors of the BFs may be determined using a variety of methods. They can be trained along with the output weights using a back-

propagation gradient descent technique, but this usually requires a long training time and may lead to suboptimal local minima. Alternatively, the means and variances may be determined before training the output weights. Training of the networks would then involve only determining the weights.

The BF means (centers) and variances (widths) are normally chosen so as to cover the space of interest. Different techniques may be used as known in the art: for example, one technique implements a grid of equally spaced BFs that sample the input space; another technique implements a clustering algorithm such as **k**-means to determine the set of BF centers; other techniques implement chosen random vectors from the training set as BF centers, making sure that each class is represented.

Once the BF centers or means are determined, the BF variances or widths σ_i^2 may be set. They can be fixed to some global value or set to reflect the density of the data vectors in the vicinity of the BF center. In addition, a global proportionality factor H for the variances is included to allow for rescaling of the BF widths. By searching the space of H for values that result in good performance, its proper value is determined.

After the BF parameters are set, the next step is to train the output weights w_{ij} in the linear network. Individual training patterns $X(p)$ comprising data corresponding to full-face and, preferably, half-face images, and their respective class labels $C(p)$, are presented to the classifier, and the resulting BF node

outputs $y_I(p)$, are computed. These and desired outputs $d_j(p)$ are then used to determine the $F \times F$ correlation matrix "R" and the $F \times M$ output matrix "B". Note that each training pattern produces one R and B matrices. The final R and B matrices are the result of the sum of N individual R and B matrices, where N is the total number of training patterns. Once all N patterns have been presented to the classifier, the output weights w_{ij} are determined. The final correlation matrix R is inverted and is used to determine each w_{ij} .

1. Initialize

- (a) Fix the network structure by selecting F , the number of basis functions, where each basis function I has the output where k is the component index.

$$y_i = \phi_i(\|X - \mu_i\|) = \exp \left[- \sum_{k=1}^D \frac{(x_k - \mu_{ik})^2}{2h\sigma_{ik}^2} \right],$$

- (b) Determine the basis function means μ_i , where $i = 1, \dots, F$, using K-means clustering algorithm.
- (c) Determine the basis function variances σ_i^2 , where $i = 1, \dots, F$.
- (d) Determine H , a global proportionality factor for the basis function variances by empirical search

2. Present Training

- (a) Input training patterns $X(p)$ and their class labels $C(p)$ to the classifier, where the pattern index is $p = 1, \dots, N$.
- (b) Compute the output of the basis function nodes $y_i(p)$, where $i = 1, \dots, F$, resulting from pattern $X(p)$.

$$R_{il} = \sum_p y_i(p) y_l(p)$$

- (a) Compute the $F \times F$ correlation matrix R of the basis function outputs:
- (b) Compute the $F \times M$ output matrix B , where d_j is the desired output and M is the number of output classes:

$$B_{lj} = \sum_p y_l(p) d_j(p), \text{ where } d_j(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } C(p) = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and $j = 1, \dots, M$.

3. Determine Weights

- (a) Invert the $F \times F$ correlation matrix R to get R^{-1} .
- (b) Solve for the weights in the network using the following equation:

$$w_{ij}^* = \sum_l (R^{-1})_{il} B_{lj}$$

Table 1

As shown in Table 2, classification is performed by presenting an unknown input vector \mathbf{X}_{test} , corresponding to a detected half-face image, for example, to the trained classifier and, computing the resulting BF node outputs y_i . These values are then used, along with the weights w_{ij} , to compute the output values z_j . The input vector \mathbf{X}_{test} is then classified as belonging to the class associated with the output node j with the largest z_j output as performed by a logic device 25 implemented for selecting the maximum output as shown in Figure 1.

1. Present input pattern \mathbf{X}_{test} comprising half-face image to the classifier
2. Classify \mathbf{X}_{test}

$$y_i = \phi(\|\mathbf{X}_{\text{test}} - \mu_i\|)$$

(a) Compute the basis function outputs, for all F basis functions

(b) Compute output node activations:

$$z_j = \sum_i w_{ij} y_i + w_{oj}$$

(c) Select the output z_j with the largest value and classify \mathbf{X}_{test} as the class j .

Table 2

In the method of the present invention, the RBF input comprises n size normalized half-face gray-scale images fed to the network as one-dimensional, i.e., 1-D, vector of pixel values. Thus, for a grey-scale image of 255 colors, values may be between 0 and 255, for example.

The hidden (unsupervised) layer 14, implements an "enhanced" k-means clustering procedure, such as described in S. Gutta, J. Huang, P. Jonathon and H. Wechsler entitled "Mixture of Experts for Classification of Gender, Ethnic Origin, and Pose of Human Faces," IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 11(4):948-960, July 2000, incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein, where both the number of Gaussian cluster nodes and their variances are dynamically set. The number of clusters may vary, in steps of 5, for instance, from 1/5 of the number of training images to n , the total number of training images. The width σ_i^2 of the Gaussian for each cluster, is set to the **maximum** (the distance between the center of the cluster and the farthest away member - within class diameter, the distance between the center of the cluster and closest pattern from all other clusters) multiplied by an overlap factor ϕ , here equal to 2. The width is further dynamically refined using different proportionality constants h . The hidden layer 14 yields the equivalent of a functional shape base, where each cluster node encodes some common characteristics across the shape space. The output (supervised) layer maps face encodings ('expansions') along such a space to their corresponding ID classes and finds the corresponding expansion ('weight') coefficients using pseudoinverse techniques. Note that the number of clusters is frozen for that configuration (number of clusters and specific proportionality constant h) which yields 100 % accuracy on ID classification when tested on the same training images.

As currently known, the input vectors to be used for training correspond to full facial images, such as the detected facial images 30 shown in Figure 2(a), each comprising a size of, for example, 64x72 pixels. However, according to the invention, as shown in Figure 2(b), half-face (e.g., 32x72 pixels) image data 35 corresponding to the respective faces 30 are used for training. Preferably, the half-image is obtained by detecting the eye corners of the full image using conventional techniques, and partitioning the image about a vertical center therebetween, so that $\frac{1}{2}$ of the face, e.g., 50% of the full image, is used. In Figure 2(b), thus, a half-image may be used for classification as opposed to using the whole face image for classification. For instance, step 2(a) of the classification algorithm depicted herein in Table 2, is performed by matching the $\frac{1}{2}$ face test image against the previously trained model. If the classifier is trained on the full image, it is understood that $\frac{1}{2}$ of the learned model will be used when performing the matching. That is, the unknown test image of half data is matched against the corresponding half images of the trained learned model.

Thus, the classifier (e.g., the RBF network of Figure 1) is trained on full faces while during testing half of the learned face model is tested against half of the unknown test image. Experiments conducted confirm that half-face is sufficient to achieve comparable performance. If $\frac{1}{2}$ face images are used, an extra benefit is that the amount of storage required for storing the learned model is reduced by fifty percent (50%) approximately. Further, the overall performance observed when identifying half-subjects

faces is the same as obtained while using full faces for identification.

While there has been shown and described what is considered to be preferred embodiments of the invention, it will, of course, be understood that various modifications and changes in form or detail could readily be made without departing from the spirit of the invention. It is therefore intended that the invention be not limited to the exact forms described and illustrated, but should be constructed to cover all modifications that may fall within the scope of the appended claims.

100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504 505 506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554 555 556 557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610 611 612 613 614 615 616 617 618 619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644 645 646 647 648 649 650 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 660 661 662 663 664 665 666 667 668 669 670 671 672 673 674 675 676 677 678 679 680 681 682 683 684 685 686 687 688 689 690 691 692 693 694 695 696 697 698 699 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721 722 723 724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731 732 733 734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748 749 750 751 752 753 754 755 756 757 758 759 760 761 762 763 764 765 766 767 768 769 770 771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779 780 781 782 783 784 785 786 787 788 789 790 791 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000